UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,213	09/22/2000	Ynjiun P. Wang	A-68940-5/DCA	9838
26290 7590 06/05/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011, 1	7,7000		3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/668,213	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<u>March 2007</u> .				
· <u>=</u>	/				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	·			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	awn from consideration.	ion requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed March 19, 2007. Claims 1, 7, 13, and 18 have been amended. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-16, and 18-28 are currently pending in this case.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, 7-11, and 27-28, drawn to a method and apparatus for pushing a transaction request from a remote electronic transaction system to a portable electronic authorization device, classified in class 705, subclass 51.
 - II. Claims 13-16 and 18-26, drawn to a method for automatic ordering from a remote merchant server including entering and accumulating product information on the portable electronic authorization device, classified in class 713, subclass 200.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not involve automatic ordering or the accumulation and of product information on the portable

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213

Art Unit: 3621

device. The subcombination (invention II) has separate utility such as automatic ordering or the accumulation and of product information on the portable device.

- 5. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to James A. Sheridan, Reg. No. 25,435 on or about May 21, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213

Art Unit: 3621

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 10. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through

Friday.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr

Patent Examiner, AU 3621

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600